

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/080,571	Applicant(s) ADAMS, EDWIN H.	
	Examiner John M Hotaling II	Art Unit 3713	

All Participants:

Status of Application: final rejection

(1) John M Hotaling II.

(3) _____.

(2) Howard Flaxman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
all

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the applicant's representative to discuss some changes that should have rendered the case allowable. Subsequent to the conversation with the applicant's representative the examiner found a piece of prior art that read on all of the claims of the instant application. The examiner informed the applicant's representative of the forthcoming final rejection.